The second secon	
Town Council Meeting Summary December 29, 1987 Request that legislators amend Public Act 85-439 to add languag to eliminate situation where one municipality owns property in	Page ge
another community to close loophole re Solid Waste Land Disposal Facilities (Meriden Landfill).	1-5
Tabled action regarding the economics of rehabilitating Simpson Pond as potential water supply.	5-9
Report on Police Management Study by PMG Associates.	9-13
Approved easement for placement for placement of hazard light with appropriate shield on Benham Road for Meriden-Markham Airport, as amended.	13-19
Approved a transfer of \$20,000 from Contingency Reserve to Architectural Contract-Handicapped and awarded contract to Friar Associates.	19-21
Adopted resolution for Implementation of SARA III Requirements/Pollution Liability Risk Survey.	21-23
Approved budget amendment to increase Distribution System from Developers by \$150,000 and to increase Contribution from Developers by \$150,000, Water & Sewer Division.	23
Approved transfers for Water & Sewer Division: \$30,000 to Power Purchased for Pumping \$20,000 to Chemical Expense \$15,000 to Laboratory Expense \$10,500 to Miscellaneous General Expenses \$3,000 from Maintenance Collecting & Impounding Reservoirs \$1,500 from Pumping Labor & Expenses \$5,000 from Operation Labor & Expenses \$3,000 from Metering Operations \$5,000 from Miscellaneous & O.S.H.A. Expenses \$12,000 from Maintenance Transmission & Distribution Lines \$5,000 from Maintenance of Services \$2,000 from Meter Repairs \$5,000 from Maintenance of Hydrants	
\$25,000 from Administrative & General Salaries \$ 9,000 from Employees' Pension and Benefits	23-24
Tabled approval of a transfer of \$1,500 from Miscellaneous Plant Equipment to Structures, Electric Division.	24
Approved settlement and authorized payment of \$4,500 E.P.A. fine, Electric Division.	24
Accepted Town Council Meeting Minutes dated December 8, 1987.	25
Withdrew subject of abandonment of MacDonald Lane.	25
Tabled subject of adding Dian T. Marandola, Executive Director Visiting Nurse Association, and Eileen M. McMahon, R.N. to homeless task force committee.	r, 25
Chairman Gessert's thank you extended to all, along with his best wishes to new Town Council.	25
Meeting adjourned.	25

Special Town Council Meeting

December 29, 1987

7:30 p.m.

- () Hammasian and possible notion for the portion of the Meriden handfill located within the boundaries for the Town of Wallingford, requested by Potor A. Gonyela, Councilman.
- Discussion and possible action regarding the economics of rehabilitating Simpson Pond as a potential water supply, requested by Mayor William W. Dickinson, Jr.

- Report on the Police Management Study by Joseph Bevan, Police Chief. 3)
- Consider and approve a transfer of \$20,000 from Contingency Reserve 8004) to Architectural Contract-Handicapped, requested by Mayor William W. Dickinson, Jr.
- Consider and approve a Resolution regarding the implementation of SARA III requirements, requested by Mayor William W. Dickinson, Jr. 5)
- Consider and approve a budget amendment to increase Distribution System From Developers by \$150,000 and to increase Contribution From 6) Developers by \$150,000, requested by Raymond A. Denison, Water/Sewer Division.
- Consider and approve the following transfer of funds requested by 7) Raymond A. Denison, Water/Sewer Division:

\$30,000.00	to	Power Purchased for Pumping
\$20,000.00	to	Chemical Expense
\$15,000.00	to	Laboratory Expense
\$10,500.00	to	Misc. General Expenses
\$ 3,000.00	from	Maint. Collecting & Impounding Reservoirs
\$.1,500.00	from	Pumping Labor & Expenses
\$ 5,000.00	from	Operation Labor & Expenses
\$ 3,000.00	from	Metering Operations
\$ 5,000.00	from	Misc. & O.S.H.A. Expenses
\$12,000.00	from	Maint. Transmission & Distribution Lines
\$ 5,000.00	from	Maint. of Services
•	from	Meter Repairs
	from	Maint. of Hydrants
\$25,000.00	from	Administrative & General Salaries
\$ 9,000.00	from	Employee's Pension & Benefits
	of \$75,500.	00.

- Consider and approve a transfer of \$1,500.00 from Misc. Plant Equipment 8) to Structures, requested by Charles F. Walters, Electric Division
- Discussion on E.P.A. fine, requested by Raymond F. Smith, Director 9) of Public Utilities.
- Consider and approve an Easement for placement of a hazard light on 10) Benham Road for the Meriden-Markham Airport, requested by Linda Bush, Town Planner.
- 11) Accept Town Council Minutes dated December 8, 1987.

ADDENDA

Remove from table and consider abandonment of MacDonald Lane.

Consider adding Dian T. Marandola, Executive Director, Visiting Nurse Association, and Eileen M. McMahon, R.N. to homeless task force committee.

SPECIAL TOWN COUNCIL MEETING

December 29, 1987

7:30 p.m.

A special meeting of the Wallingford Town Council was held in Council Chambers, called to order at 7:35 p.m. by Chairman David A. Gessert. Answering present to the roll called by Rosemary A. Rascati, Town Clerk, were Council Members Adams, Bergamini, Gouveia, Holmes, Killen, Papale, Polanski, Rys and Gessert. Also present were Mayor William W. Dickinson, Jr. and Thomas A. Myers, Comptroller. The pledge of allegiance was given to the flag.

Discussion and possible action for the portion of the Meriden Landfill located within the boundaries for the Town of Wallingford, requested by Mr. Gouveia, Councilman.

Mr. Gouveia explained that between 1910 and 1945, Meriden purchased from citizens in Wallingford, 5 parcels of land. To the best of my knowledge, Meriden has never paid taxes; fees or pilots for this land. It seems that the basis for the exemptions, is found in

Connecticut General Statutes, section 12-81 paragraph 4, which states that property be exempt from taxtation if used for public use. In the 1920's and 1930's, several municipalities did go to court, to force other municipalities with properties located within their teritorial limits, to pay taxes for that property. In those cases, the land was not taxable under the statute. Recently, (1981 & 1983) cases where municipality owned land in another municipality, the courts found that the property was not used for public purposes and therefore, they were held taxable, these properties involved parks and not landfills. Since 1910, Meriden has expanded their landfill. They have gone from what they previously owned, into these 5 parcels of land, thus, changing the use of the land from woodlands and farm lands to landfill. In all of these cases, they failed to come before Planning and Zoning in Wallingford to seek their permits. Just prior to 1985, the driveway to the expanded portion of the landfill, was built by the City of Meriden and also some trailer buildings were erected by the City of Meriden. Both the driveway and the trailer buildings are within the teritorial limits of Wallingford, and again, in both cases they failed to come before the Planning and Zoning of Wallingford, for permits. There is also equipment to operate the landfill on the portion of land in Wallingford. Also, large amounts of sand were extracted from Wallingford's section and used in the City of Meriden. The Town Engineer told me that there is about 35,000 cubic yards of sand left on the other side of the runway. Wallingford pays \$7.40 for a cubic yard of sand and it seems to me that when they were taking the sand from the Wallingford portion, they should have been paying something for it. At this point, I would like to make a recommendation, that we see if the property tax could be levied, both on the buildings and property taxes on equipment that is located in Meriden.

Mr. Gouveia read the following Public Act:

SOLID WASTE FACILITY—PAYMENTS TO MUNICIPALITIES

House Bill No. 5681

PUBLIC ACT NO. 85-439

AN ACT CONCERNING PAYMENTS TO HUNICIPALITIES BY OPERATORS OF SOLID WASTE LAND DISPOSAL FACILITIES.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

(NEW) The owner or operator of a solid waste land disposal facility which accepts solid waste for disposal from one or more municipalities shall pay to the municipality in which such facility is located fifty cents for each ton of solid waste that is received at such facility, except that if the owner or operator is a municipality, such municipality shall not be required to pay such amount if such municipality disposes of its solid waste at the facility. Any payment made pursuant to this section shall be in addition to any payment made pursuant to section 22a-282 of the general statutes.

Approved June 25, 1985.

Mr. Gouveia explained that Meriden operates the landfill but clearly operates it within the teritorial limits of Wallingford and Meriden should be paying Wallingford fifty cents for each ton, but, because of the loophole, they are taking advantage and are not paying.

A motion was made by Mr. Gouveia that the Wallingford Town Council requests that all of the legislators amend Public Act 85-439 to add language that would eliminate the situation where one municipality owns property in another community, and by adding prior to the last sentence, the phrase: "providing the facility is located within the municipalities teritorial limits" or any other language that will accomplish this (closing the loophole), seconded by Mr. Adams.

Mr. Rys asked Mr. Gouveia if he is indicating that he would like to see the municipality in Meriden taxed for buildings, etc. and Mr. Gouveia explained that by this motion, he would like to see the

municipality in Meriden, pay what the intent of the legislation was, which was fifty cents per every ton of garbage that is disposed in a municipality. The issue of taxing the buildings in Meriden was just a recommendation.

Mr. Killen explained that the reason that Wallingford is able to tax Meriden, is because it is leased, and with more of these trash disposal plants coming on line, there are going to be more laws passed trying to address this type of situation, so it is a good idea for our legislators to get their feet wet now.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

Mr. Gouveia explained that CRRA is scheduled to take over the Meriden landfill in 1988. CRRA will then become the operator of the landfill, and CRRA is not a municipality. I feel that CRRA should pay the fifty cents per ton. However, there are a number of questions that have not been answered such as; If the fifty cents are paid, will they be paid from the system cost? If they do come from the system cost, then Wallingford is not getting the full fifty cents, they will get forty cents, since 1/5th of the system cost will be paid by Wallingford. The next question would be; If the fee is paid by Meriden, can the fee be paid by Meriden from the money it receives from the CRRA lease? Will the landfill continue to be exempt from taxation under section 12-81? Can garbage from other towns (beside the 5 regional towns) to be dumped in Meriden, and if so, does Wallingford have any recourse? These are questions that no one has been able to answer and I do not know if there are any answers.

Mr. Rys stated that he would think, that in the case of the CRRA, that they are going to be leasing the landfill from the City of Meriden and they would probably be abliged to pay the Town of Wallingford taxes.

Mr. Holmes stated that he believed that part of the Governor's solid waste management team answered that after 1990, any landfill that had capacity, the state could direct other communities to take their waste to those communities.

Regarding the Oak Street wellfield, Mr. Gouveia explained that on 2/24/87, this Council appropriated \$40,000 for a study of pollution and pollution abatement. This was done because of the procsimity to the landfill and the well problems. More recently, we had the Whitman and Howard report presented to this Council, indicating that the landfill was a potential source of contamination. The report indicated that well #2 has 15 to 20 parts per billion of TCE. The present maximum level for TCE is 20 parts per billion. The EPA, is lowering the level of TCE to 5 parts per billion. \$16,000 was also appropriated to the Whitman and Howard firm for a greensand filter It was recommended that if the study was successful, pilot study. a treatment facility using greensand filters, was to be built at a cost of \$600,000. The report also recommends that a study of the aquafers and their relationship to the landfill be done. The ultimate objective of the report will be to recommend to the town, measures which will minimize the threat of the well water by the present landfill and proposed expansion, the cost being \$144,000. When you add all of this together, you are looking at \$800,000. Recently, the Mayor indicated that cleaning the wells is going to cost \$2 million dollars and he is convinced that we are going to have to spend that money. An article in the New Haven Register reported that Meriden was one of the landfills that has been blamed by DEP for polluting ground water in Wallingford, which the water officials in the Town of Wallingford agree with.

A motion was made by Mr. Gouveia to have the Wallingford Town Council ask the Town Attorney to investigate the possibility of issuing the City of Meriden, an order to cease and desist operating their landfill, in the areas within Wallingford's teritorial limits, as a public nuisance and a public health threat and to report to the Council, of it's findings, by the second meeting in January 1988, seconded by Mr. Killen.

Mr. Holmes asked if this could be done in that time frame and Mr. Gouveia said that he could change the date if that would help, and added that he believes that they should go after the City of Meriden for some of the money to pay for the \$2 million dollars that they are going to spend because they are the ones that are polluting the water.

Mrs. Bergamini asked why the DEP is not taking action because this is their job and Mr. Gouveia agreed.

Mayor Dickinson explained that there is no proof of the source of the TCE, and added that they could look into the operation at their current site but, the chance of a cease and desist order on a current site, they would probably still be able to operate. The real question is the expansion of the landfill and can be looked into.

Mr. Edward Musso, 56 Dibble Edge Road, suggested that the CRRA be charged the fifty cents per ton charge, because all you are going to do is increase the tipping fee. 100 years ago, Connecticut was a manufacturing state and they knew that the river would take away whatever they did not want so, you can't blame the people that are alive now. I think that there should be a law that everyone should die when they get to be 60 years old.

Mr. Edward Bradley, 2 Hampton Trail, stated that he believes that no matter what we do, we are still going to have a problem because of all of the dumping in the Quinnipiac River, because it is such a problem area.

Mr. Gessert added that the Water Department has had experts drilling test wells throughout Wallingford, to see if they could supplement the system and in many cases they came up with wells but, they did not come up with the kind of pressure that you get out of those wells over at Praggman Park.

Mrs. Bergamini added that the best well is under the $K-Mart\ Shopping\ Plaza$.

Mr. Geno Zandri, Jr. added that this is a great amount of money (\$2 million dollars) but, these wells are supplying Wallingford with 1/4 of their drinking water on a daily basis. There are a lot of things that can be dumped in the Meriden landfill if it is done selectively and it would not contaminate the water supply and you will not lose the landfill.

Mr. Musso did not agree with Mr. Zandri.

VOTE: Adams, Gouveia, Killen and Papale voted yes; Bergamini, Holmes, Polanski, Rys and Gessert voted no; motion did not pass.

Mr. Gessert suggested that Mayor Dickinson contact DEP and ask them to provide the town with any research that they have done, as far as contamination of those wells and where it may be coming from and get back to the Council with a plan and their recommendations to identify sources, so the new Council will have better information to go on.

Mayor Dickinson said that he would be happy to have the Town Attorney's Office look at the legal situation, and added that he thinks the real issue is the expansion.

 $\overline{\text{ITEM 2.}}$ Discussion and possible action regarding the economics of rehabilitating Simpson Pond as a potential water supply, requested by Mayor William W. Dickinson, Jr.

Mr. Gessert explained that the Council received a letter from Representative Mary M. Mushinsky explaining the estimate of the cost to rebuild the dam, which is in the neighborhood of \$200,000. We did ask Mr. Ray Smith to determine the feasibility of using that as a water supply and pumping that into the town resevoir system. We received a letter from Mayor Dickinson which explains that Ray Smith has notified him that the firm of Whitman & Howard would do a study for the town at a cost of between \$5,000 and \$8,000. This study would just be to determine the costs on transporting the water and pumping it and getting an estimate on how many gallons can be used. Mayor Dickinson agreed with Mr. Gessert and added that this would be an indication of what it would cost to have Simpson Pond as a part of our water supply system.

Mayor Dickinson passed out a list of areas and streets which drain into the supply for Simpson Pond and a Dam list for the Town of Wallingford. (pages 6-9 of these minutes)

Mr. Killen suggested that if any money was going to be spent at all, they should decide how far they want to go into it.

Mrs. Bergamini asked Mayor Dickinson if anyone has talked to Carabetta about releasing this pond and Mayor Dickinson said that the interest for the Town of Wallingford has to be identified and that is the reason that the Water Department was asked to come up with a report as to what it meant to be part of the water system. They found out that it is going to cost us approx. \$5,000 to \$8,000 to have a report to indicate what it will cost us to have Simpson Pond as part of our water system. Mrs. Bergamini stated that they should have a letter from Carabetta stating that he will release the pond to the town.

Mr. Polanski asked what the status of the pond was when Carabetta got permission to change those buildings over from Planning and Zoning and Mrs. Bergamini explained that it was researched once and it was understood that he would maintain it but, it was not a main part of the condition.

Mr. Holmes stated that he thinks that this project is getting rapidly out of hand because they have no direction at this point.

Mr. Musso stated that the dam should be put back there because they need it as a retention pond, because there are many streets that drain into that.

List of Areas and Streets which drain into the supply for Simpson Pond

North Farms Reservoir Catlin Brook and its tributaries

North Forms Road - north of Route 66

West Side of Leigus Rd.

Grieb Road (from Leigus Rd. to No. Elm St.)

port of Seffery Drive

part of Steges Drive

port of Old Pasture Court

lee Pend Road

Catlin Road

Mapleview Road

Laurelwood Drive

Route

RECEIVED
RECEIVED

Cotlin Read

Mapleview Road

Laurelwood Drive

Rolling Meadow Drive

Timber Lone
port of Northrup Road

Seiter Hill Road

East Main Street (from Grieb Rd. to East Center St.)

Farm Hill Road (from east of Farm Court to Sunrise Circle)

Briarwood Lane

Sunrise Circle

Steven Street

Dean Drive

Denald Court

Doris Street

Russell Street

Old Durham Read (from Christian St. to Durham Rd.)

Durham Read (from East Moin St. to Jobs Rd.)

Christian Street (from Choote Athletic Field to East Moin St.)

Pine Glen Terrocc

Chestnut Lane

· Sunniper Lane Perkins Drive part of New Rock Hill Rd. Old Reek Hill Rd. part of Hillhouse Avenue

List of Areas and Streets which drain into the supply for Simpson Pond

Marcus Cocke Park Rock Hill School Burke Heights Drive Summit Drive Constitution Street Ribie Road Buffom Lone Walnut Lane

north side of East Center Street (from Simpson Pond to Reynolds Drive)

west side of Reynold's Drive.

Kingsland Avenue

Corr Street

Hillcrest Road

East Side Drive

East Side Terroce

Audette Drive

Arbor Lane

Cronard Lane

Chester Lane

George Lane

Norman ALERUE

Cooper Avenue

Cheryl Avenue

Brown Street

Middle Lone

Mc Guire Court Housing for the Elderly.

East Side Terrace Housing for the Elderly

Call MoryLow at the Water & Sewer Office (269-8795) if you have any questions.

DAM LIST

1987 PED -4 P 2: 44

removed l.

Britania Spoon (upper river dam)

Community Lake (breached)

Killam Pond, Millers Pond (breached)

McKenzie Reservoir

Simpson Pond 6.

Lucky Pond 7.

Manson Dam 8.

Ulbrich Reservoir 9.

Shiavone Dam 10.

Pistapuag Pond

RECEIVEL

Private MAYON'S OFFICE

Town

Private

Town

Private

Private

Private

Town

Private

Town

	Spring Lake	Private
13.	Dayton Pond	Private
14.	Tyler Pond (breached)	Town
15.	Cooks Pond	Private
16.	Catlin Brook Pond	Private
17.	Swimming Pool Pond	Town
18.	Eckerts Pond	Private
19.	Scards Pond	Private
20.	Farnum Pond	Private
21.	Springbrook Reservoir	
22.	Pine Lake	Private
23.	Wallace Pond	Private
24.	Church Pond	Private
25.	Fergusson Pond	Private
26.	Butterworth Pond	Private
27.	Hatchery Dam	Private
28.	Farms Pond	Private
29.	Peanuts Pond	Private
30.	Slow Pond	Private
31.	Sun Dam	Private
32.	Mansion Road Dam	Private
33.	Hartmanns Pond	Private
		LTIVATE

DAM LIST

34. Rec Pond

35. Unnamed

36. North Farms Reservoir

37. Wharton Brook Pond

Private

Town

State

Mr. Holmes added that he does not think the town should spend the money to fix the dam because the owner should have been maintaining it all along.

A motion was made by Mr. Killen to TABLE this item, seconded by Mrs. Papale.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

ITEM 3. Report on the Police Management Study by Joseph Bevan, Police Chief.

Mr. Gessert stated that he read the report and noticed that there are some things that they are doing well and some things that they are doing very well and some things that need improvement.

Mr. Robert Wasserman from PMG Associates in Hillsboro, New Hampshire explaiend that for the last 6 months, they have been conducting an organizational analysis of the Police Department and they have looked at a number of aspects of the organization. If you look at everything, with the exception of the records and reporting, which is contained in another report which has not been given to the Chief because it is under a different contract. The most important thing that I was asked to look at in the department, focused on questions of organizational structure, the number of ranks, the size of the department and staffing levels and how well the department operated, when judged against current policing standards. Our review has basically concluded that Wallingford is in pretty good shape. A lot of things over the years have been done right. There has been fairly good support of the department by the Council. the kind of budgetary allocations for equipment and technology that has been asked for. They have structured themselves along semi-military They have received lines and they have an excellent facility. As you go from department to department in Connecticut, you get a perspective that Wallingford is better than many. This is not to say that there are not some issues that need to be addressed over the next years and that there aren't some weaknesses which should also be addressed. When I speak of issues, I am referring to choices which the town has to make.

One of the questions that I propose that Wallingford should deal with over the next several years, is the question of specialization. In a town of this size, do you want to have lots of specialized programs χq or do you want to create a group of generalist officers, who do most things well and when something is really complicated, requiring a great amount of technical speciality arises, should you use an outside source or should you create very competent specialties within the department which ends up being very costly. A second issue is whether as the department grows, you want to be sure that you incorporate the kind of practices which will raise productivity. Many departments in New England, base their growth on the fact that, if you get X number of calls (new calls) you need X number of new offices, X number of accidents, you need X number of officers to investigate those accidents and if you have so many crimes, you need to investigate that number of crimes. I propose to you that that kind of approach will work. I would suggest to the Council and the Mayor, that you have alternatives that are more cost efficient and beneficial for the quality of service that this town wants. The options are; using productivity devices such as, screening calls for service; not responding to every call instantly, but accepting a delay in some calls. Very rarely will it be necessary but, when you have 4 calls and 2 units available, there is going to be a delay. If you incorporate the modern technology, that we have developed at the Department of Justice in Washington, where you tell people that there will be a delay, you take some reports over the telephone, you don't automatically send a police cruiser out to every citizen request, if the department and the individual believe that the response will not make a difference. As the department grows, there is another set of issues which reflect on, whether you want to have more ranks. One of the things that I was asked to look at, was whether the department should have a second Deputy Chief. The are lots of advocates for second Deputy Chief's. We now know that things are different and can be different. The worst thing that you could do is to put on more levels in the organization between the Chief of Police and the operating officers. There is no need to have somebody to whom you pass things through, on the way down. I recommend that you not increase the size of the command staff, but instead, you enhance the roll of the lieutenants. The lieutenants are impressive as a group. They have a good sense of what is going on. I also think that the Chief has done a good job. Rather than add another level, the department needs to take it's lieutenants and the Deputy Chief and form them into a command staff, a problem solving group, where all of the decisions are made with the lieutenants in the room along with their input, recognizing that it is the Chief that decides and he is accountable. If you adopt that recommendation, you are cutting down on the promotional chances for people in the department, so you can not aonly make that change, although job satisfaction, if that style of management is adopted, will be greatly increased. You need to make changes that will enhance the roll of lieutenant and their job satisfaction, that will provide for career development and will make it, someday, at the rank of lieutenant, will not feel that they have to become a Deputy Chief to have succeeded. The largest weakness is that it is an incident orientated department, as are almost every other agency in the United States. The department responds to the same locations, the same issues, over and over again. You never have a burglary, if it is crime, which is isolated, you mostly have crimes that occur in patterns and occur over time and so it is a problem in the community. The department needs to adopt a problem solving sense of itself, so that everyone in this town knows that the department has a burglary program, if that is the problem. You have a DWI problem and a narcotics problem for which you should start programs. These are style issues, and they will change over It will start with 2 recommendations, (earlier stated). First is that the department should be restructured without the additional rank or Deputy Chief and the restructuring should take the

Deputy Chief, rather than having him as a pass through, have that position responsible for field operations for the patrol force, and focus the most important part of the department under that manager, and give the 3 lieutenants real responsibilities for figuring out how to use their resources and how to succeed. The second part of the restructuring, is to put all together under the detective lieutenant, everything in the department focusing on crime. Crime investigation is just another part of crime prevention. Merging other functions such as the Youth Bureau, would be useful. In terms of resource alocations, there are sufficient patrol resources with the current staffing and the projected addition of the 3 officers, to meet the called for work load in the forseeable future, providing that you adopt the managing calls for service

program, that screens calls and alocates a classification according to how soon the police have to respond and that the person is told We have 800that when they call, that you will be there within 1 hour. We have found out that this works out well. I recommend that the department move towards it's accredidation. There is a commission that is called The National Commission On Accredidation of Law Enforcement Agencies. This was created by the four organizations and over a period of 3 years, having a board, they created a set of standards upon which all police agencies now are being judged. We believe that almost all of their standards are excellent, and most of them are being implemented in the department. One of the best investments that you could make, would be to buy the set of standards, buy the self assessment and find out where you stand, and that is a self assessment process. It is a good thing for the lieutenants to get involved in as they start to meet regularly with the Chief. I mentioned the development of a management team and we would like to see improvements in the evaluation system. You need a career development program, so that officers can see when they join,, that they have a career and there are options in the career. Some of the best officers that you have, like being police officers and if they do it well, it is better that they be productive and satisfied, then feel that they should have to promote themselves up to lieutenant, etc. so you need to have a comprehensive career development program with the employee union. We recommend that the patrol beats be redesigned because they have not been redesigned for several years and we have talked with the people as to how it is to be done. We recommend that you invest in the lieutenants and the Deputy Chief in preparing them for future leaders. Lieutenants need to do more than just go to specialized schools that the state runs, you should send a lieutenant to one excellent school each year. They are expensive, but when they come back, they will understand all of the issues I am describing to you tonight.

Mr. Gessert asked how much this school would cost and Mr. Wasserman replied \$4,000 and it is run by the Harvard faculty and runs for 3 weeks.

Mr. Wasserman continued by explaining that they would recommend that they increase the level of field supervision. One of the more important weaknesses the department has, is the number of sergeants for supervision. Liability wise, this is an issue you have to address. We also recommend that you hire a second civilian dispatcher, or a few, so you can have a second dispatcher working during all of the busy shifts. Right now, the radio is being understaffed, sometimes, the window is understaffed a lot and you need to see that there is enough workload inside, to staff that position on the day and night shifts.

Mr. Gessert thanked Mr. Wasserman for his excellent presentation.

Mr. Gessert asked Chief Bevan about the dispatcher positions and Chief Bevan explained that he is hiring 2 more dispatchers lined up for the 1 opening that they have.

Mr. Gessert pointed out that Mr. Wasserman did not mention anything about auxilery police and Mr. Wasserman explained that this was not a thing that they focused on but he would be happy to send some notes that he made to the Council. Mr. Gessert brought up the issue of school crossing guards and Mr. Wasserman explained that there are two issues that need to be addressed with that. One is that it is probably is not better to have police officers patroling because then you are not getting any return for your money. If the problem is speeding through school zones, then having police officers available to do that kind of enforcement, because it will save a childs life, is worth it. If that is not a problem, then you are much better off having school crossing guards, and having the policeman problem solve in other areas.

Mr. Rys asked Mr. Wasserman if he found that there was too much centralization for the patrol functions and Mr. Wasserman explained that officers usually gravitate towards where there is a lot of action and interest. The assignments in the past have not kept officers for longer periods of time, in a specific area. The beats change throughout each shift and we are recommending that there be one set of beats andthe officer (for 28 days) stay in that area and have to develop a sense of problem solving plan for what the continuing issues are. This is going to take a lot of effort explaining to the officers how good this can be.

Mr. Gessert asked if Mr. Wasserman would recommend that after their month is up they go to a different area and Mr. Wasserman replied

that he would like to see them stay in one area, but I don't think it will make that much difference if they shift. I am recommending that the beats be changed, also.

891

Mr. Holmes pointed out that he thinks these types of solutions are long term solutions and not overnight solutions, because you are dealing with individuals and attitudes which will not change overnight. Chief Bevan stated that he will implement this study as soon as it is possible.

Mayor Dickinson suggested that a copy of the report be made avaliable to everyone in the department so they can review it and a mechanism can be developed.

Mr. Gessert added that he believes that some of the implementation can begin by the spring and the rest during budget time.

Mr. Wasserman recommend that the Chief have the lieutenants meet with the officers about the report after they have read it.

Mr. Polanski pointed out that in the report, they recommend that the patrols be changed but it does not explain how or why.

Mr. Wasserman explained that he is recommending that this be generated through the lieutenants inside as opposed to someone coming in from outside.

Mr. Gouveia stated that the cost of implementing all of his recommendations is almost meaningless when you look at the overall budget. The problems seem to be an issue of style rather than money. Mr. Gouveia asked Mr. Wasserman to explain the assessment center and Mr. Wasserman explained that the assessment center is a series of job related exercises that a person goes through. Mr. Gouveia asked if it was possible to have a contract for 3 or 4 years for a position and if the Chief or Deputy Chief does not meet these goals, he will not be reappointed. Mr. Wasserman explained that he is recommending a very complicated evaluation system, where you will give people feedback and show them what their weaknesses are, and their strengths.

Mr. Adams asked if it was possible or if there was some tool vehicle that is available through Mr. Wasserman's company that could come back and evaluate the progress that has been made and Mr. Wasserman replied that he would be happy to do that and added that they do that in a number of cities that they work in and in another city, they are running the staff meetings, which is not necessary here. A follow-on is useful.

Mr. Gessert explained that he believes that it was the intention of the Council Members, that this study be the first, but not the last, and it is very important to have an outsider tell us how we can improve and what do we have to do to get where we should be. He added that he thinks the recommendations in the report are very good and the report was very well done.

Mr. Killen stated that he enjoyed the presentation and he was very interested in the portion of the presentation regarding the beats. He asked if when doing this report, if he found that the officers were keeping a log of unusual things other than crime and Mr. Wasserman replied that they make notations of things but it is not an adequate documentation system.

Mrs. Bergamini mentioned that the officers do not like to deliver the Council packages (for agenda) but that is one of the things that her neighbors are going to miss the most because, they thought it was terrific when the patrol car came with the green light and circled at odd times and delivered the packet. They felt very safe by this trip.

Mr. Holmes added that if they can get people to work together, this will run smooth and Chief Bevan replied that he does not see any problems with that.

Mr. James Williams, 517 Pilgrim Harbour, suggested that the police talk with other cities and towns and get their implementation.

Mr. Gessert called a short recess at 9:30. The meeting resumed at 9:41.

A motion was made by Mr. Polanski to move up item 10, seconded by Mrs. Papale.

899

ITEM 10. Consider and approve an Easement for placement of a hazard light on Benham Road for the Meriden-Markham Airport, moved by Mr. Rys and seconded by Mr. Holmes. WITHDRAWN (see next motion)

Mayor Dickinson explained that this issue is a safety issue that was brought about by the FAA. The City of Meriden and the Meriden-Markham Airport are very interested in providing a hazard beacon in order to alert aircrafts of the high points along the airport. In the Easement, it states that if it is necessary to widen Benham Road, the easement and right-of-way granted hereunder shall be extinguished.

Mr. Holmes pointed out that this easement is an issue that they should go along with because this light will serve as a warning for a hazard and the airport will not be open for service. He asked if this light will have a protective shield and Attorney Somers explained that they have addressed this issue to the town and at this time, they do not have such a shield. We do have our consulting engineer for the airport, working on the design of such a shield.

Mr. Rys stated that he heard from some residents regarding this beacon light because they did not want this near their property. He made the Mayor's Office aware of this and he in turn notified Linda Bush.

Attorney Somers explained that he contacted the FAA, after his last meeting with Mayor Dickinson and Councilman Rys and unfortunately, the FAA was not able to provide him with any kind of written assurance, that should the area be developed, that the need for the beacon would dissapear. We have been given the opinions of the engineers at the FAA, as well as our own, that should the area be developed with street lighting provided for the area, then the need for the beacon would disappear.

Mr. Rys added that this is a safety issue and it has to be done.

Mr. Adams asked if the requirement for the shield is contained in the easement and Attorney somers explained that the requirement for the shield is not contained in the easement language itself however, representations have been made by myself and other officials from the City of Meriden, that that will be part of the standard equipment.

Mrs. Bergamini read and moved the following Easement: (as amended)

EASEMENT

INDENTURE made this 29th day of December , 1987, by and between the TOWN OF WALLINGFORD, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut, and located in the County of New Haven, hereinafter referred to as the "Grantor", and the CITY OF MERIDEN, a municipal corporation specially chartered by the General Assembly of the State of Connecticut and located in the County of New Haven, hereinafter referred to as the "Grantee".

Witnesseth:

WHEREAS, the Grantee is the owner of an airport which is located in the vicinity of Evansville Avenue and Airport Road in the City of Meriden and Town of Wallingford, respectively; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Aviation Commission, in connection with a grant for Airport improvements, has ordered the Grantee to install, maintain and operate certain obstruction beacons to mark areas of high elevation surrounding said Airport; and

WHEREAS, one of the areas to be marked by such a beacon is in the vicinity of Benham Road in the Town of Wallingford; and

whereas, the Grantor is the owner of a right-of-way over said Benham Road; and

WHEREAS, the Grantee is desirous of installing, maintaining and operating an obstruction beacon upon a portion of said right-hereto.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants and promises contained herein and the sum of \$1,000.00 , and other valuable considerations, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Grantor does hereby grant and convey unto the Grantee a perpetual right-of-way and easement with the right, privilege and authority to the said Grantee, its successors and assigns, to install, operate, maintain and replace an obstruction beacon, w/appropriat shield with all appurtenances thereto, upon the above-described property, together with the right to said Grantee, its successors and assigns, to repair and/or replace said obstruction beacon and appurtenances thereto, and together with the right to a temporary construction easement outside of the permanent right-of-way, of sufficient size to allow the initial installation of the obstruction beacon.

It is hereby understood and agreed that in the event that the Town of Wallingford shall widen the said Benham Road, the easement and right-of-way granted hereunder shall be extinguished. It is further agreed that in such event, the Grantor shall grant to the Grantee an easement for the same purposes as set forth herein, within the newly-established street line. Should said easement be extinguished as aforesaid, the Grantee shall be responsible for removing and relocating said utility pole and beacon.

The Grantor reserves unto itself, its successors and assigns, the right to use the above-mentioned land, which is subject to the easement and right-of-way herein granted, for whatever uses and purposes it desires, provided such use shall not interfere with, disturb or injure the rights herein conferred upon the Grantee.

The Grantee for itself, its successors and assigns, agrees that the hours of operation of the Meriden-Markham Municipal Airport shall be the same as those contained in the 1985 Schedule of Operations (i.e., the operation of the airport shall cease 1 hour after dark). The Grantee further agrees to restore any land, appurtenances or plantings of the Grantor disturbed by the installation, servicing, maintenance or repair of the said obstruction beacon as nearly as possible to its former condition just prior to such disturbance.

To Have and to Hold the premises for the purpose aforesaid unto the City of Meriden, its successors and assigns, forever. Said easement shall terminate at such time that the hazard beacon is no longer necessary to comply with FAA requirements.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Grantor, by its , duly authorized, has set its hand and corporate seal the day and year first above written.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in presence of:

TOWN OF WALLINGFORD

By

STATE OF CONNECTICUT)
) ss: Wallingford
County of New Haven)

Personally appeared , who acknowledged himself to be the of the TOWN OF WALLINGFORD, a municipal corporation, and that he, as such officer, being authorized so to do, executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein contained, by signing the name of the corporation by himself as before me.

In Witness Whereof, I hereunto set my hand and official seal.

Commissioner of the Superior Court

"EXHIBIT A"

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED EASEMENT
OVER PROPERTY OF THE TOWN OF WALLINGFORD ON BENHAM ROAD
TO BE GRANTED TO THE CITY OF MERIDEN

BEGINNING at a point 380 feet in a northerly direction from the intersection of the northeasterly boundary line of property now or formerly of Myrtle Godek on Benham Road (a.k.a. Fairview Streat) and shown as Lot 10E on the Wallingford Assessor's Map, and the southeasterly boundary line of property now or formerly of Henry and Edmund Godek on said Benham Road and shown as Lot 11 on said Assessor's Map; thence running in a northerly direction for a distance of 20 feet; thence running in an easterly direction to the center line of the said Benham Road; thence running in a southerly direction along the said center line for a distance of 20 feet; thence running in a westerly direction from the said center line to the point of BEGINNING.

Attorney Somers explained that Mr. Hal Schneer explained to him that in the last paragraph of the easement, there is an error, where it says "i.e., the airport should close after dark". This particular condition was discussed at a meeting a couple of weeks ago between the Mayor, Councilman Rys and myself. When this item was discussed, we were talking about the operation of the airport, which is the fixed base operator, that the City of Meriden has an arrangement with to operate the airport facilities. To say that the airport will close is erroneous, because the airport would be open to any pilots in the area who may need to land for emergency purposes. This is one of the reasons that the beacon will remain on all night. I would like to amend this document by adding in that paragraph, "i.e., the operation of the airport shall cease I hour after dark".

Mr. Gessert asked to have that explained and Mr. Schneer explained that the FAA does not determine the actual landing of aircraft on the airport but, the property owner does. In this case, the property owner is the City of Meriden. The City of Meriden has not set out any rules to prohibit anyone from landing at the airport. What the FAA has caused us to do, is to turn the lights off and the FAA does have jurisdiction over that because they provided the money for this federal grant that lite the airport and put the new runway in. We have a contract with the City, to stay open between certain hours and we are expected to stay open up to one hour after sunset, which we have done in the past.

Mayor Dickinson explained that what is happening is that they were concerned about the aircrafts returning from anyplace after dark and to be able to land safely. Those who are based there, are beginning to land after dark without appropriate lighting and they are encouraged to do this because they do not want to go miles away. We were concerned that the airport now have it's facilities open, to encourage people to regularly use this facility. Airplane rental, gasoline, etc. will be closed I hour after dusk.



Mr. Killen stated that he feels that this may bring a lot more night traffic to the airport and does not see this as the perfect answer.



Mr. Rys explained that the airport lights will not be on but, when the aircraft is approaching the airport, radio frequency will turn on those lights for as long as he needs.

 $\operatorname{Mr.}$ Gessert stated that he feels that the activity would increase if the operations were open but, if they are closed, you will only have basically local people.

Attorney Somers added that pilots are landing now without the lights so, with the installation of this beacon, the area will be more safe.

Attorney Joan Malloy, who represents the Godek family, explained that they are the ones that are going to be most directly affected by the beacon. I would like to thank Mayor Dickinson, Councilman Rys and Attorney Somers because, from the time my client raised their issue, they have been extremely responsive to my requests for information. I think the proposal before you is partially a result of their cooperation in dealing with my client's concern.

My clients are not happy with the prospect of this beacon light and would just assume that it would not be there. That area is not developed and there are no street lights there. They are also concerned that once that beacon light is up there, they are going to have low flying aircraft landing there. The reality is, that my clients are going to have the light shining in their faces, because there is no other lighting in the area. If the Council chooses to approve this easement, I would ask them to approve the easement with the restrictions that are included. That would be the hours, the beacon light be shielded and that they do something about the visual aspect of the pole and if it is possible, include the restriction that if the beacon light can be eliminated when the area is developed, it be removed.

Mr. Gessert thanked Attorney Malloy for her presentation and applauded her efforts to work with everyone involved to try to resolve the situation with as little amount of impact as possible on her

Attorney Malloy asked if this would require the removal of any trees and Attorney Somers explained that they may have to trim some branches.

Mayor Dickinson asked to have the following sentence added to the easement: "Said easement shall terminate at such time that the hazard beacon is no longer necessary to meet state requirements or that the FAA determines that it is no longer necessary".

Mrs. Bergamini added an amendment to the original motion which was to add the sentence "(i.e., the operation of the airport shall cease 1 hour after dark)" and add the sentence "Said easement shall terminate at such time that the hazard beacon is no longer necessary to comply with FAA requirements.", seconded by Mr. Rys.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried. (as amended)

Consider and approve a transfer of \$20,000 from Contingency Reserve to Architectural Contract-Handicapped, requested by Mayor William W. Dickinson, Jr., moved by Mrs. Bergamini and seconded by Mr. Holmes.

Mr. Thomas Chicoski explained that the building committee has selected the firm of Friar Associates in West Hartford. In order to proceed with the project and the time table and be capable of providing the Council with a clear picture of the project scope and cost, we are requesting this initial funding of \$20,000 with which to fund the pre-design phase of the project. At the conclusion of this project phase, we will have completed all of the required surveys and the initial design work. The architect will then be able to have detailed cost estimates, with which to report back to the Council to present a picture of the total project scope and cost. This initial funding will be deductible from the total architectural This initial funding will be deductible from the total architectural cost. We also wish to have approval of the proposed draft contract and also a designation as to who will sign the contract. I received a letter from Attorney Mantzaris which states that the agreement with the architect is on the standard form agreement between owner and architect, which the Town of Wallingford has entered into on many previous occasions.

Regarding the transfer, Mayor Dickinson explained that even though it is coming from Account 319, they would look to reimburse Account 319, once the funding ordinance is adopted.

Mr. Killen asked why this money could not be taken out of the surplus, since the town has a surplus and Mr. Myers explained that he would prefer to use the unappropriated fund balance to fund items of a capital or non-recurring nature that are infrastructure improvements and to attempt, as much as possible, to live within the operating budget that was adopted by a Council. This will enable us better control when we prepare a budget because, we are closer on the bottom line to where we started.

Mr. Myers asked Mr. Chicoski if the funding ordinance would be ready soon and Mr. Chicoski replied that he should have it ready before June.

Mayor Dickinson asked if the specs will be out and the bids received and Mr. Chicoski explained that you are not going to have all of the bids go out in 1988, because you are going to have some work done in 1989.

VOTE: Killen voted no; Adams, Bergamini, Gouveia, Holmes, Papale, Polanski, Rys and Gessert voted yes; motion duly carried.

Mr. Chicoski introduced Mr. David L. Friar, President/Principal of Friar Associates. Mr. Friar introduced Kathy R. Weldin, and John Maciag.

At this time, Mr. Friar showed the Council Members a video presentation, which lasted about 3 minutes and it explained the work that Friar Associates has done.

Mr. Friar explained that the firm was established in 1974 and they presently have 19 employees. Over the past several years, they have done numerous school projects. This project is limited to handicapped access for 10 schools, 4 of which require studies for interior and exterior modifications and the remaining schools are just exterior. We will be doing schematics of the schools and we will report back to the Committee and the Council as to how we saw the overall magnatude of the project.

Mr. Holmes asked if Friar Associates has work in the field of handicapped access before and Mr. Friar explained that they were awarded a commission for the City of New Britain, which encompasses all 14 of their schools, which is a \$14 million dollar project and includes handicapped access and asbestos removal.

Mr. Chicoski explained that the Committee did a thorough investigation of projects done by Friar Associates.

Mr. Friar added that he expects to be back before the Council with cost estimates in about 2 months and also a priority listing of the schools, with the items.

Mr. Gessert asked how close Friar Associates came to their price estimates than to actual costs and Mr. Chicoski explained that there were 2 projects and they were within 1%-2%.

Mr. Adams asked if there were any options as to how you can best achieve the best results and Mr. Friar explained that there is a program accessability, which will require them to talk to the people on the school program to find out the programs and if they are accessable and what is the most efficient way to do that. We will make recommendations and they will be prioritized, along with dollar costs.

Mr. Myers asked if these renovations were partially reimbursable and Mr. Friar replied yes. Mr. Myers asked what role Friar Associates will play in assuring Wallingford that they receive the maximum benefits under the grant subsidies and Mr. Friar explained that they will play a major role and Wallingford qualifies for 64.76% reimbursement of the items that qualify.

Mr. Myers suggested that the priority list also reflect the amount of subsidy or grant reimbursment that the town would receive.

Mr. Friar added that some of the leway you have in determining

now far you want to carry your handicapped project, is that the state considers half of your schools, to comply with federal 504 requirements and to give you some idea of the leway that they will give you, if you desire to make all of your schools handicapped access, they will support you at your reimbursement level.

A motion was made by Mr. Holmes to award the contract to Friar Associates, seconded by Mr. Adams.

Mr. Musso suggested that the children be bussed instead of renovating a whole building.

Mr. Gouveia asked if the Committee is totally satisfied and Mr. Chicoski replied yes and added that this is why they have made their selection. Mr. Gessert agreed with Mr. Gouveia.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried. (awarded contract)

ITEM 5. Consider and approve a Resolution regarding the implement of SARA III requirements, requested by Mayor Dickinson, moved by Consider and approve a Resolution regarding the implementation Mrs. Bergamini and seconded by Mr. Holmes.

Chief McElfish explained that SARA III is for hazardous materials planning. The federal government passed it and Congress passed it in 1986. Basically, it is the emergency planning and community right-to-know act of 1986. It includes requirements for hazardous materials, training, medical review and record keeping. Also, emergency planning, emergency responders, emergency right-to-know and toxic chemical release and inventory.

Mrs. Bergamini read and moved the following Resolution:

Municipal Liability Trust Fund Certification

RESOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN COUNCIL OF WALLINGFORD (Legislative Body) (Public Entity)
THAT William W. Dickinson, Jr. Mayor (Name of Incumbent) (Official Position) hereby authorized to execute for and in behalf of the Town of Wallingford State of Connecticut, this application and to file it with the Municipal Liability Trust Fund Committee (MLTFC) for the purpose of obtaining financial assistance uncer the Municipal Liability Trust Fund Program established under Public Act 86-350.
THAT (1) the project listed below for which grant assistance is requested is a municipal liability survey or activity (2) the project was authorized by the Town/IXXXX of Wallingford on December 29, 1987. The project is: A Pollution Liability Risk Survey Name of Municipal Liability Project: Implementation of SARA III Requirements Location and Description of Project:
Town of Wallingford. This project will address the reporting, planning and training requirements of SARA III.
State Grant Requested: \$20,184 Local Match (if required): NA
THAT ten percent of the project cost will be provided from non MLTF sources for any activity that is not a pollution liability risk survey or a pollution loss control activity:
THAT if the project is cancelled or if a project costs less than estimated, unused funds must be returned to the MLTFC immediately. If grant funds remain unexpended 6 the MLTFC or an application requesting extension of the project should be made accompanied by a project status report.

THAT each grantee will be required to maintain a detailed accounting record of the project listed above and ensure that clear and concise audit trails are maintained at all times. It is not necessary that a separate bank account be maintained for each

_____day of _

accompanied by a project status report.

Passed and approved this

CE	RT	T	F	Ţ	CA	Ţ	Ţ	ON
\.L	\sim 1	1		4	· ' ·		•	\sim

Ι,	, duly appointed Town/City Clerk $$	α
of Correct copy of a resolution passed	, do hereby certify that the above is a true and approved by	nd
the(Legislative Body)	ofof(Public Entity)	
(Legisiative boos)		
	Signature	

The Resolution which Mrs. Bergamini just read and moved was seconded by Mr. Holmes.

Mr. Killen asked if any money has been expended from any of the other two projects and Mr. Myers replied yes, and explained that they have expended money on the water study, which was contracted through Whitman & Howard.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

ITEM 6. Consider and approve a budget amendment to increase Distribution System From Developers by \$150,000, requested by Raymond Denison, Water/Sewer Division, moved by Mrs. Bergamini and seconded by Mr. Holmes.

Mr. Denison explained that they put \$500,000 in their budget to recognize this contribution of water lines and the offset is a fixed asset account. We will have to recognize them in the future, have to maintain them and depreciate that amount.

Mr. Killen commented that he cannot ever figure out the use of working capital in his monthly report and Mr. Denison explained that it is not in the same format that is in the original budget.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

ITEM 7. Consider and approve the following transfer of funds, requested by Raymond A. Denison, Water/Sewer Division, moved by Mr. Holmes and seconded by Mrs. Bergamini:

\$30,000.00 \$20,000.00 \$15,000.00 \$10,500.00 \$3,000.00 \$1,500.00 \$5,000.00 \$5,000.00 \$5,000.00 \$5,000.00 \$5,000.00 \$5,000.00 \$5,000.00 \$5,000.00 \$5,000.00 \$5,000.00	from from from from from	Power Purchased for Pumping Chemical Expense Laboratory Expense Misc. General Expenses Maint. Collecting & Impounding Reservoirs Pumping Labor & Expenses Operation Labor & Expenses Metering Operations Misc. & O.S.H.A. Expenses Maint. Transmission & Distribution Lines Maint. of Services Meter Repairs Maint. of Hydrants Administrative & General Salaries Employee's Pension & Benefits
for a total	of \$75,500.0	.

Mr. Killen commented that he noticed that 2 items are already overdrawn and Mr. Denison explained that this is because of the encumberances, and because of the number of people that they are lacking (Administrative & General Salaries) are charged to that account. There are some heavy dollars and we are moving around from our original budget predictions. We would like to get this money in position for comparative purposes for next years budget. Regarding the Power Purchased for Pumping Account, Mr. Denison explained that this is because of Hayledge Court going on line and the filter plant has run more this year than it has in the last several years, because it hasn't been down for pilot studies. There was a lot of maintenance performed on the plant in prior years and it is noticable to us that that plant is running day in and day out now.

828

.VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

ITEM 8. Consider and approve a transfer of \$1,500.00 from Misc. Q_{Q} Plant Equipment to Structures, Electric Division, moved by Mrs. Bergamini and seconded by Mr. Rys. <u>WITHDRAWN</u> (see next motion)

Mr. Killen commented that they had an estimate for \$5,000.00 and they are getting one for \$3,500.00, why are they short \$1,500.00?

Mrs. Bergamini explained that they found out that they were short space for the switchboard operator.

Mr. Killen added that he cannot make sense out of the letter submitted by Mr. Walters, and added that they have more than enough money in that account.

A motion was made by Mr. Killen to TABLE item 8, seconded by Mr. Holmes.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

ITEM 9. Discussion on E.P.A. fine, requested by Town Attorney.

Mayor Dickinson explained that this fine amounts to the settlement or compromise of a claim, and authorizing payment of \$4,500.00.

Mrs. Papale explaiend that she was at the PUC Meeting and they had previously sited the Electric Division for several violations that have a proposed total penalty of \$4,500.00.

A motion was made by Mrs. Bergamini to accept the settlement as explained in Mr. Smith's letter dated December 11, 1987, seconded by Mrs. Papale.

Mr. Gouveia pointed out that this started back in April and the Council was not made aware of this situation.

Mr. Edward Bradley asked Mrs. Papale how they arrived at the estimated \$5,000.00 figure and Mrs. Papale explained this was a fine.

Mr. Gessert explained to Mr. Bradley that they committed to reclassify the coolant in one of their Washington Street transformers so that it would be in a non-PCB category. This will require an expenditure which must be completed by July 1988 to reprocess the fluid and exchange with a non-PCB mineral oil. It is estimated that this work can be done for under \$5,000.00.

VOTE: Gouveia voted no; Adams, Bergamini, Holmes, Killen, Papale, Polanski, Rys and Gessert voted yes; motion duly carried.

ITEM 11. Accept Town Council Minutes dated December 8, 1987, moved by Mrs. Bergamini and seconded by Mrs. Rys.

VOTE: Killen passed; Adams, Bergamini, Gouveia, Holmes, Papale, Polanski, Rys and Gessert voted yes; motion duly carried.

ITEM 12. Remove from table and consider abandonment of MacDonald Lane. WITHDRAWN

ITEM 13. Consider adding Dian T. Marandola, Executive Director, Visiting Nurse Association, and Eileen M. McMahon, R.N. to homeless task force committee.

A motion was made by Mr. Gouveia to TABLE ITEM 13., seconded by Mr. Killen.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

Before the meeting adjourned, Mr. Gessert thanked Susan Baron and Delores Fetta, Council Secretaries, for their efforts over the past several years and he also thanked Rosemary Rascati, Town Clerk, for her cooperation over the last several years and wished the entire Council well.

ITEM 11. Accept Town Council Minutes dated December 8, 1987, 830 moved by Mrs. Bergamini and seconded by Mr. Rys.

VOTE: Killen passed; Adams, Bergamini, Gouveia, Holmes, Papale, Polanski, Rys and Gessert voted yes; motion duly carried.

ITEM 12. Remove from table and consider abandonment of MacDonald Lane. WITHDRAWN

ITEM 13. Consider adding Dian T. Marandola, Executive Director, Visiting Nurse Association, and Eileen M. McMahon, R.N. to homeless task force committee.

A motion was made by Mr. Gouveia to TABLE ITEM 13., seconded by Mr. Killen.

VOTE: All ayes; motion duly carried.

Before the meeting adjourned, Mr. Gessert thanked Susan Baron and Delores Fetta, Council Secretaries, for their efforts over the past several years and he also thanked Rosemary Rascati, Town Clerk, for her cooperation over the last several years and wished the entire Council well.

Date

A motion to adjourn was duly made, seconded and carried and the meeting adjourned at $11:25~\rm p.m.$

Meeting recorded by: Susan M. Baron, Council Secretary Meeting transcribed by: Susan M. Baron, Council Secretary

Approved	David A. Gessert, Chairman
	Date
	Rosemary A. Rascati, Town Clerk